Key:
Closed :
New:

C-9714
SR 108/US 101 Mason and Thurston Co Fish Barriers - Remove Fish Barriers
RFQ Questions

Question	RFQ Reference	Questions	Date Received	Response
1	RFQ Title Page	The RFQ Title Page Shows "Pilot" where the Contract No. should be, though the rest of the pages show in the footer, "20210525 V12SVB Mod". Please advise that 20210525 V12SVB Mod is the correct contract number.	8/23/2021	The items you describe are relevant to the WSDOT RFQ template version that was used as the basis for the document. These numbers are not related in any way to the contract number (C-9714).
2	General	Per our conversation on Thursday, 8/19, please advise if shortlisted teams for other DB Projects that have yet to be awarded, including US 101 Jefferson & Clallam, will be allowed to list some of the same key personnel. This has been a non-issue in the past, as shortlisted only leaves a 33% chance of winning the project and precluding those key personnel will leave out highly qualified people to be listed on this project. If not allowed to list shortlisted personnel from other DB pursuits, please make it known to all submitters. If it will be allowed, please advise.	8/23/2021	The required Key Personnel assignment commitments to this project are described in RFQ section 7.5. Key Personnel may be proposed for this RFQ that are also included on Proposal teams for other projects currently in procurement (including US 101, Jefferson & Clallam Co.) In the event that your team is selected as the Design-Builder for the "other" project, the Key Personnel proposed for that project are committed to that project as described in RFQ section 7.5. At that time, you would need to submit proposed replacement Key Personnel for this project as described in RFQ section 5.4. Those proposed replacement Key Personnel would be subject to an equal or better determination by WSDOT as described in RFQ section 5.4. If the proposed Key Personnel replacements are determined by WSDOT to not be equal or better your Proposal would likely be deemed non-responsive and disqualified. This substitution process must be completed prior to the Proposal Due date for this project.
3	Table 7.2 (Pg 16-18)	Table 7.2 (Pg 16-18): Will the evaluation be broken down by sub-goal, as was done on US 101 Jefferson & Clallam? Or by the overall goal? The evaluation criteria is not clear on this and affects how the SOQ is presented to WSDOT.	8/23/2021	Goals are defined in Section 4.3. Unless otherwise specified, evaluation of a goal will include all applicable sub-goals.
4	Appendix A, Form E	Regarding Form E, can Submitters re-create Form E in our own template to better edit and add information as long as all information requested is provided in the same format? If this is not permissible, will WSDOT provide the Form in Word format to better accommodate the required information?	9/2/2021	A Microsoft Word version of Form E will be available on the Project website as noted in Addendum #2.
5	Section 7.3	Would WSDOT consider allowing Arial as an acceptable font, similar to how the US 101 Jefferson and Clallam RFQ allowed per addendum 2?	9/13/2021	Arial font is allowable as noted in Addendum #2.